UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
STEPHEN ASARO	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	JURY
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. He 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints	ellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22 s for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTIO	CE OF ADOPTION
instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in	er Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual rked with an '\(\mathbb{\sigma}\)' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s) and, below.
Plaintiffs, STEPHEN ASARO, by his/her/NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant	their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & (s), respectfully allege:
I. P	PARTIES
	LAINTIFF(S)
1. ☑ Plaintiff, STEPHEN ASARO (a citizen of New Jersey residing at 70 VanBuren A	Thereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and Evenue, 1st Floor, Metuchen, NJ 088400000. (OR)
2. Alternatively, □, and brings this claim in his (he	_ is the of Decedent er) capacity as of the Estate of

Ca	se 1:07-cv-05185-AKH Document	1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11
citizen of	residing at	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the
Injured Plaint	☐ SPOUSE at all relevant times h	nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the
	· ·	nd (his wife), Plaintiff Other:
4. Department N	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 10/11/New York (FDN Y) as a Fire fighter at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Fire
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
 ✓ The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) 		The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for
Approximatel	bout _9/11/2001_ until _10/11/2001_; ly _12_ hours per day; for ly _25_ days total.	Approximately days total.
The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh From on or al Approximate Approximate	Kills Landfill bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	his information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	Was exposed to and breathed nabove;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injured	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 3/14/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
☐ is pending	CORP
☐ Granting petition was made on	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
——————————————————————————————————————	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
	r.c. ☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on 3/14/07	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	MEW TORK, INC. ☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC. ☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 Case 1:07-cv-05185-AKH Document 1 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil		Jurisd ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
remo	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	C. § 1441.
of lial		name	C ACTION d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
		П	Other:

Case 1:07-cv-05185-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 10/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Emphysema; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; and Wheezing Date of onset: 10/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: 10/1/2005	V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 10/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
▼	Digestive Injury: Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux Date of onset: 10/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	✓	Other Injury: Eye and/or Ear Problems; Joints aching/pains, numbness; Sleep Apnea; Sleep Problems; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 10/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

 V	Pain and suffering	☐ Disability
✓	Loss of the enjoyment of life	✓ Medical monitoring✓ Other: Not yet determined.
√	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits	
V	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
V	Other: ☑ Mental anguish	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Stephen Asaro

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action. That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
April 25, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
STEPHEN ASARO,
Plaintiff(s)
- against -
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
Defendant(s).
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
To Attorney(s) for
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
Dated,
Attorney(s) for
TAKE NOTICE:
CE OF ENTRY Interest the within is a (certified) true copy of an ally entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 CE OF SETTLEMENT Interest an order of which the within is a true copy of the presented for settlement to the HON. Interest of the enterest of the entered for settlement to the HON. Interest of the entered for